NABU 1997-11

Two N/LB documents from the British Museum ---

I should like to thank the Trustees of the British Museum for permission to publish these texts.

Вм 26528

- 1. D[UB-*pi*?] 12 GI^{mes} É *ep-ši*
- 2. k[i]- i^{\dagger} 2 MA.NA KÙ.BABBAR KÙ.PAD.DU
- 3. ^{m^f}ŠÁ¹-MU DUMU ^mdAG-KAR^{er}
- 4. KI "LÚ-^dna-na-a DUMU "mi-ṣir-A+A
- 5. [ki]-i KÙ.BABBAR ga-mir-ti id-din
- 6. m[a]-hir a-pil za-ki
- 7. $ru-g\acute{u}m-ma-[a\ ul]^{\lceil i-\check{s}i\rceil}$
- 8. [ul] i-tur-ru-ma a-na a-ha-meš
- 9. [ul] [i]-rag-gu-mu ma-ti-ma
- 10. AŠ EGIR^{meš} u_4 -me AŠ ŠEŠ^{meš}
- 11. DUMU^{meš} kim-ti né-su-ti
- 12. *ù sa-la-ti šá* É ^{md}AG-KAR^{er}
- 13. $\lceil \check{s}\check{a} \rceil E_{11}$ -ma AŠ UGU É $\check{s}u$ -a-ti
- 14. \acute{u} -[da]-bu-bu \acute{u} -šad-ba-bu
- 15. [*i*]*n*-*nu*-ú ú-*paq*-*qa*-*ru*
- 16. [*u*]*m-ma* É *u*l *na-din* KÙ.BABBAR
- Lo.E. 17. [ul] ma-hir i-qab-bu-ú
- r. 18. [x] [KÙ.BABBAR im-hu]-[ru!] EN 12-TA.ÀM
- 19. *[i]-[ta]-nap-pal*
- 20. KI ^{[2} GÍN[]] KÙ.BABBAR *ki-i* DIRIG SUM^{nu}
- 21. [AŠ ka]-nak nat DUB šu-a-ti
- 22. *a-na* I[G]I(?) ^{md}AG-MU-*im-bi* DUMU ^mAŠ-SUR
- 23. ^{III}GAR UMUŠ bar-sipaki
- 24. IGI *^mšá-pi-ku* DUMU ¹MUK
- 25. IGI md U+GUR-TIN lit DUMU KIMIN
- 26. ^mšu-x¹-[...] DUMU ^mdEN-NIGIN^{ir}
- 27. ^m[x¹-[...] A ^{lú}MUK
- 28. [...] DUMU ^{md}AG-KAR^{er}
- 29. [^m][... DUMU] []]^dMUK
- 30. $\[\] \text{bulk} DUB.SAR \[\] \check{s} \acute{a} ti \] r \[\]^{na_4} \] DUB$
- 31. ^{md}AG-^rSUM¹^{na}DUMU ^mAŠ-SUR
- 32. bár-sipa^{ki} itiKIN U₄ 7 KAM
- 33. MU [x KAM ^dA]G-MU-*iš*-kun
- 34. [LUGAL] ^[E] (or [TIN.TI]R)^{ki}

Translation

¹[tablet (=deed) (?)] of 12 reeds (a measure of length) of a built-on house plot. ³Šākin-šumi son/descendant of Nabû-ēţer ⁵sold (lit. « gave ») ⁴to (lit. « with ») Amēl-Nanâ descendant of Miş(i)rayyu ²(for a sum) amounting to 2 minas of silver in pieces, ⁵as the full price. ⁶He has received (the purchase price), he is paid and has been quit (of claims). ⁷There shall be [no] (basis) for claim. ⁸They shall not initiate (reopen) litigation against each other. ⁹Whenever ¹⁰in the future any of the brothers, ¹¹sons, family, kin ¹²or relatives of Nabû-ēţer's house (= clan) ¹³raises a claim concerning this house ¹⁴or induces somebody to make a claim, ¹⁵changes (revokes), lays a claim and declares : ¹⁶« The house was not sold and the silver ¹⁷was not received », ¹⁸(the litigant) ¹⁹shall pay ¹⁸twelvefold the silver he has received.

²⁰With two shekels of silver given as an additional payment.

²¹ (Witnesses) at the sealing of this tablet :

²²Before Nabû-šuma-imbi descendant of AŠ-SUR, ²³the governor of Borsippa ; ²⁴Before Šāpiku descendant of ¹⁶MUK ; ²⁵Before Nergal-uballit descendant of ditto ; ²⁶Šu (or Gimil)-x son/descendant of Nabû-ēțer ; ²⁷x-[...] descendant of ¹⁶MUK ; ²⁸[...] son/descendant of Nabû-ēțer ; ²⁹[...] descendant of ¹⁶MUK. ³⁰Scribe, writer of the tablet : ³¹Nabû-iddina descendant of AŠ-SUR. ³²Borsippa, month VI, day 7, ³³year [x of Na]bû-šuma-iškun ³⁴[King] of Babylon.

Commentary

Line 4. DUMU "mi-sir-A+A is so far the earliest member of this important family in Babylonia. It is noteworthy that this surname is recorded in Babylonia almost hundred years before Esarhaddon's conquest of Egypt, thereby causing a historical problem. As is well-known, there were relations between Babylonia and Egypt in the Amarna Age, but there is no evidence for any direct mutual contacts in the ensuing periods before both countries formed part of the Assyrian empire. This single occurrence is, of course, not adequate proof of direct contacts between Egypt and Babylonia during the 1st quarter of the 1st millennium B.C. The crystalization of Babylonian surnames presumably took place as early as the end of the 2nd millennium B.C. (see W. G. Lambert, *Jcs* 16, 1962, 75f.; cf. Zadok, *Lingua Aegyptia* 2, 1992, 144, bottom).

Line 5. For *ki-i* KÙ.BABBAR cf. J. A. Brinkman, in H. Behrens, D. M. Loding and M. T. Roth (eds.), DUMU-E₂-DUB.BA-A, *Studies in honor of* O(A;)ke *W. Sjöberg* (Philadelphia 1989 [henceforth *Fs. Sjöberg*]), 39, r. 3'.

Lines 7-19. The impeachment clause (*Anfechtungsklausel*) almost exactly conforms to « Formular A » of H. Petschow, *Kaufformulare*, 28, the only deviation being the omission of *paqirānu ušabšû* in line 15. Formular A is almost the only one found in the « pre-Chaldean » period. The only exceptions noticed by Petschow are three documents with « Formular C » (shorter than « A » and the later short and generalized « Formular B ») from Borsippa (*TuM* 2/3, 11, 12, 14). The formula in a document from 775 B.C. (reign of Marduk-erība, the

predecessor of Nabû-šuma-iškun; Brinkman, *Fs. Sjöberg*, 37-49; from Babylon) is even shorter than Petschow's « Formular C », thereby being the shortest recorded formula. The transaction recorded in that document is basically of the same type as that of this deed, but unfortunately most of the formulary is broken, except for r. 5' [... *za*]-*ki ru*-*gúm*-*ma*-*a* NU.TUK [¹⁶*pa*-*qi*]*r*-*a*-*nu* 12-TA.ÀM *i*-*ta*-*nap*-*pal*.

Line 18. There is no room for restoring *pa-qir-a-nu*.

Line 20. For the *atru* clause see Petschow, *Kaufformulare*, 25. A separate clause perhaps supports M. San Nicolò's assumption that the *atru* was paid as earnest money (a token for concluding the sale contract, *NRV* 54, n. 12 *ad* 33), but note Petschow's reservations (*Kaufformulare* 28).

Line 22. The same governor of Borsippa also occurs in *BM* 33428 (S.A. Strong, *JRAS* 1892, 354, i, 31, undated; re-edited by W.G. Lambert, *JAOS* 88, 1968, 126, Ib, 22), where he bore more titles (*nišakku* and $\bar{e}rib$ - $b\bar{t}it$ of Nabû, 353, i, 9f., etc., see Brinkman, *PKB*, 225 with n. 1424; G. Frame, *JCS* 36, 1984, 74, 77, 78 with n. 60).

Line 22, 31. The surname "AŠ-SUR is recorded in Babylon, Borsippa, Dilbat and Uruk between 753 and the 5th century B.C. (see Frame, JCS 36, 74, 78; F. Joannès, Archives de Borsippa : la famille Ea-ilûta-bâni. Etude d'un lot d'archives familiales en Babylonie du VIII^e au Vesiècle av. J.-C. Geneva 1989, 371 with refs.; TCL 12, 30, 5, 9, 12; 41, 11; TEBR 58, 23; YOS 6, 191, 2, 6, 11, 12; 17, 360, iv, 9; K. Kessler, Uruk: Urkunden aus Privathäusern; die Wohnhäuser westlich des Eanna Tempelbereichs 1, Mainz 1991, 99f. ad 11, 6 ["AŠ]-; 18, 6, 14'; 19, 15; 32, 4; 56, 3; 58, 14'). Note Nabû-nādin-šumi (^dPA-SUM^{na}-MU) DUMU AŠ+SUR (prayer to Nabû, K. Watanabe, in H.I.H. Prince Takahito Mikasa [ed.], Essays on ancient Anatolia and its surrounding civilizations, Wiesbaden 1995, 227). The surname is less frequently spelled ¹⁶AŠ-SUR (Dar. 235, 14; Nbn. 113, 13; TCL 12, 41, 4). It was interpreted at its face value, i.e. Aššur, by Tallqvist (NB 16b; 10x). This interpretation was rejected by O. Krückmann, who read this combination of signs as a Sumerogram for *Eda-eter* (TuM 2/3, 25b; for an elaborate discussion see Brinkman, PKB, 225 with n. 1420). However, doubt is cast on the reading Eda*eter* by the occurrence of Nabû-ušallim (^dAG-GI) son of Nabû-mukīn-apli (dAG-DU-A) descendant of mAŠ-SURki (witness, Borsippa, 13.IV.510/09 B.C.; BM 29484, 9f., unpubl.; archive of Šaddinnu s. of Balassu desc. of Beliyau). The 1st element is exclusively spelled with AS and not with AS (which is much more common in N/LB), presumably because the toponym is exclusively written with AŠ. In addition, among the dozens of occurrences of this surname there is not a single spelling with -KAR(*er*). Note that this deed has -KARer for -*ēter* (in Nabû--). This much may be argued for the case of reading this surname as Aššur. Admittedly, it should not be forgotten that only a single occurrence out of many is written with the determinative ki. Moreover, it would be difficult to apply the reading Aššur in the only occurrence of this anthroponym as a given name, viz. [™]AŠ-SUR A [™]U.MUK (last witness, Babylon, 1st

year of Kandalānu, i.e. 647/6 B.C.; Vs 5, 3, E), where a common Babylonian anthroponym like $Eda-\bar{e}tir$ seems more likely. On the whole, it would seem too optimistic to state that the reading of this common surname is finally settled.

Line 27. Surface effaced, so there is no telling whether IGI stood there.

Line 33. For the king Nabû-šuma-iškun (c. 760-748 B.C.) see Brinkman, *PKB*, 224ff. The same spelling of the RN is also recorded in *BM* 33428 (the spellings are discussed by Brinkman, *PKB*, 224, n. 1408).

Line 34. LUGAL or LUGAL E (*BRM* 1, 2, 3); LUGAL TIN.TIR^{ki} (*Vs* 1, 36, iv, 13, from Borsippa).

BM 59568 (82-7-14, 3978) 16.IV.488/7 B.C., land sale

- 1. BÁN ŠE.NUMUN A.ŠÀ EDIN É URU e-la-an KÁ I₇ GÚ.DU₈.Aki
- 2. *la-bi-ru* GABA KÁ.GAL ^d*iš-tar pi-hat* TIN.TIR^{ki}
- 3. ÚS AN.TA IM SI.SÁ ÚS.SA.DU "NUM-a na-din A.ŠÀ
- 4. ÚS KI.TA IM.U_x.LU ÚS.SA.DU ^{md}AG-ÙRU-*šú* DUMU ^mŠITIM
- 5. SAG AN.TA IM.MAR.TU ÚS.SA.DU *"zu-um-ba-a na-din* A.ŠÀ
- 6. SAG KI.TA IM.KUR.RA ÚS.SA.DU *"zu-um-ba-a na-din* A.ŠÀ
- 7. ŠU.NIGIN BÁN(sūtu) ŠE.NUMUN A.ŠÀ šu-a-tì it-ti "zu-um-ba-a
- 8. DUMU šá ^{md}U+GUR-SÙH-KAR^{er md}EN-ú-bal-liț DUMU šá
- 9. *"re-e-mu-tu {ki-i* "NU[M] *a-na* 10 GÍN KÙ.BABBAR}
- 10. KI.LAM im-bi-e-ma 1 MA.NA KÙ.BABBAR i-šá-am
- 11. *a-na ši-mi gam-ru-tú ù* 1 GÍN KÙ.BABBAR
- 12. at-ra id-din-šú
- 13. ŠU.NIGIN 1 MA.NA 1 GÍN KÙ.BABBAR KÙ.PAD.DU {*i-na*}
- 14. *i-na qá-at* ^{md}EN-*ú-bal-liț* DUMU šá
- 15. *"re-e-mu-tu "NUM-a DUMU šá "^dU+GUR-SÙH-KAR*"
- 16. ši-mi A.ŠÀ-šú ka-sa-ap ga-mir-ti
- 17. ma-hi-ir a-pi-il ru-gúm-ma-a¹
- 18. *ul i-ši ul i-tu-ru-ma*¹
- r. 19. *a-na a-h[a-meš ul i-rag-gu-mu]*
- 20. ma-ti-ma i (text MA)-n[a] Š[EŠ^{met}DUMU^{met}(kim-ti né-su-ti ù sa-lati) šá É ^mNUM-a]
- 21. šá i-rag-gu-mu um-ma A.ŠÀ
- 22. ul na-din-ma kàs-pa u[[]l ma-hi-ir]
- 23. pa-qí-ra-nu KÙ.BABBAR im-hu-ru a-di 12-TA.ÀM
- 24. i-ta-nap-pal ŠE.NUMUN at-ra u ma-țu ki-ma
- 25. KI.LAM-šú-nu a-ha-meš ip-pa-lu
- 26. AŠ ma-har mú-su-par-ra DI.KU₅ DUMU šá mhu-ma-a-za-ta
- 27. ^{md}AG-*mu-še-ti-iq*-<UD.>DA DI.KU₅ DUMU šá ^map-la-a

- 28. ^{md}AG-*it-tan-na* DI.KU₅ DUMU šá ^m*re-mu-tu*
- 29. ^{md}EN-ú-bal-liț DI.KU₅ DUMU šá ^mbár-sipa^{ki}-A+A
- 30. ^mš*ad-din-nu* DUB.SAR DUMU ^mDÙ^{eš}-DINGIR
- 31. *™mi-nu-ú-a-na-*^dEN-*da-nu* DUB.SAR
- 32. IDUMUI "MU-^dAMAR.UTU KÁ.DINGIR.RA^{ki} išĽ
- 33. U₄ 16 KAM MU 35 KAM *mda-ri-ia-muš*
- 34. LUGAL KÁ.DINGIR.RA^{ki} (text : *nu*) LUGAL KUR.KUR
- 35. [UM]BIN "NUM-a na-din A.ŠÀ [ki-ma na4 KIŠIB]-šú

(nailmarks on both ends of U.E.; Lo.E. mostly effaced)

Translation

One seah ($s\bar{u}tu$) of arable land (farmland), steppeland, area of the settlement, upstream from the gate of the 2Old Cutha canal opposite the city gate of Ištar (in) the district of Babylon; ³its upper (long) side on the north, bordering on (property of) Zumbā, the seller of the field ; 4its lower (long) side on the south, bordering on (property of) Nabû-uşuršu descendant of the House Builder ; sits upper (short) side on the west, bordering on (property of) Zumbā, the seller of the field; 6its lower (short) side on the east, bordering on (property of) Zumbā, the seller of the field. ⁷The total is one seah of arable land of that field. Together with Zumbā son of Nergal-ina-tēšî-etir, Bēl-uballit son of Rēmūtu {Zumbā for ten shekels of silver} ¹⁰declared the equivalent (rate of exchange to be) one mina of silver and he bought 11at the full price; and 12he has given him 11one shekel of silver 12 as an additional payment. 15Zumbā son of Nergal-ina-tēšî-etir ¹⁷has received ¹⁴from the hand of Bēl-uballit son of ¹⁵Rēmūtu ¹⁶the full price in silver for his field, ¹³altogether a sum of one mina (and) one shekel of silver in pieces. ¹⁷He is cleared. ¹⁸There shall be no (basis) for claim. They shall not initiate (reopen) ¹⁹litigation against each other. ²⁰Whenever any of the brothers, sons, family, kin or relatives of Zumbā 21raises a claim and declares : « The field ²²was not sold and the silver was not received », ²³the claimant ²⁴will repay ²³twelvefold the silver he has received. ²⁴(If) the field is larger or smaller (than indicated) ²⁵they compensate each other with respect to the price.

²⁶(This contract was concluded) before Usuparra, judge, son of Humāzāta; ²⁷Nabû-mušētiq-uddē, judge, son of Aplā; ²⁸Nabû-ittannu, judge, son of Rēmūtu; ²⁹Bēl-uballiţ, judge, son of Barsippayyu; ³⁰Šaddinnu, scribe, descendant of Ēpeš-ilu. ³¹Minū-ana-Bēl-dānu, scribe, ³²son of Iddina-Marduk.

Babylon, month IV, 33day 16, year 34 of Darius, ³⁴King of Babylon, King of Lands.

³⁵Nailmark of Zumbā, the seller of the field (is affixed) [as] his [seal].

Commentary

Line 1. The reading EDIN É was confirmed by Dr. M. Jursa. A.ŠÀ EDIN also occurs in Brinkman, *Fs. Sjöberg*, 39, 1 ; *e-la-an* « above, upstream from ».

Line 4. Possibly identical with Nabû-uşuršu, s. of Iddina-Bēl desc. of Itinnu (*Vs* 3, 136, presumably from Babylon, as the delivery took place there; 498/7 B.C.).

Line 9. The *ki-i* should have been placed before 1 MA.NA, the normal word order being $k\bar{i}$ (sum) KI.LAM *imbēma išâm*.

Line 14. *qá* is rare in N/LB, except for « Chaldean » royal inscriptions (cf. von Soden and Röllig, *Syllabar*, 32:170).

Lines 18ff. Short formulary (« B », cf. Petschow, Kaufformulare, 29f., 36f.).

Line 19. There is space for at least another ten signs.

Line 20. There is space for at least another six signs.

Line 26f. The determinative is deliberately omitted. U-su-par-ra, is possibly OIran. **Hu-spara-* « having a good, beautiful, fine shield ». His patronym is OIran **Hu-āzāta-* (with a glide, Av. hv- $\bar{a}z\bar{a}ta$ -) « very, right noble », which is extant as an anthroponym on an Aramaic seal of Achaemenian age (*Hwzt*, see M. Lidzbarski, *Ephemeris für semitische Epigraphik* 2, Giessen 1908, 400; sent to him by F. Delitzsch in February 1907). Note that the judge with an Iranian name and an Iranian patronym is listed before his three colleagues bearing Babylonian names; he might have chaired the collegium of judges.

Line 30. Šaddinnu, s. of Šāpik-zēri desc. of Ēpeš-ili acted as the first witness in *Camb*. 31, 13 (Babylon, 7.I.529/8 B.C.; Egibi archive). The shape of SAR here (followed by an erasure of one sign) is different than that of SAR in the following line.

The description of the property as « field of the steppe, area of the settlement » (line 2) is a *contradictio in adjecto*. In addition, the price is 10 shekels (= 1/6 mina) in line 9, but one mina in lines 10, 13. Due to these discrepancies, I think that this cannot be an actual deed, but just a draft. It was written, in all probability, by an apprentice of a scribe (therefore two scribes are listed; the first was presumably the master), the more so in view of the errors and inconsistencies in writing common appellatives and names.

Ran Zadok (08-01-97)