NABU 1987-72 Karlheinz Deller

Neo-Babylonian « Practical » Lu-Lists — Four short NB lists of professions from 8th century Nippur comparable to those from Sultantepe and Kuyunjik (*MsL* 12, 233-241, NA) have recently been published by Stephen W. Cole, *Journal of Ancient Civilizations* 1 (Changchun, 1986) 127-143. Three entries call for further comment :

a) LÚ.EN.NAM = be-el pa-baš(129:5; 131:5; 134:1) for expected $*p\bar{a}hat(i)$ or $p\bar{i}hat(i)$: this strange spelling is not unique (p. 135) but occurs also in ÌR-ka LÚ. $pa-fbaš^{1}(!)$, ABL 1074:1 (letter of the governor of Nippur to the Assyrian king, NB); this reading fits the traces much better than LÚ. $pa-fba^{1}(?)$, tentatively proposed by the present writer, ZA 74, 236.

b) LÚ.IGI.DU = ma-as-pan (130: 18; 131: 18; 134: 6): Cole's derivation from *massū pāni (p. 138) is too far-fetched. IGI.DU is in all likelihood an inverted spelling for GUB.IGI (e.g. LÚ.GUB.IGI = LÚ.ma-za-az pa-ni, MSL 12, 239 iii 29-30, NA); ma-az-pan should, therefore, be considered an abbreviation of manzaz/mazzaz pāni.

c) LÚ.EN.GIŠ.GIGIR = bi-ir-kab- $\Gamma t\hat{u}'/-tu$ (130 : 12 ; 131 : 12) is, in Cole's opinion, « a contracted form of $b\bar{e}l$ narkabti » (p. 136). Though plausible as a literal translation of the logogram this contraction is difficult to explain phonetically. An interpretation as *bir kabti, « son (bir allophone of Aramaic bar) of a nobleman, member of the nobility », should, therefore, be seriously considered. To own a chariot or to be No.1 on it certainly was a class privilege from Mittanni/Kassite times onward. The sequence LÚ.A.SIG / LÚ.A.SIG₅ "LÚ.GIŠ.GIGIR / LÚ.EN.GIŠ.GIGIR (MSL 12, 239-240 v 10-11, NA) implies that these four terms are, if not homonymous, then at least closely interrelated. In the Babylonian Theodicy ma-ar kab-ti ù šá-ri-i (BWL 80 : 186, SB) denotes one who belongs to the nobility and/or the affluent society.

The crux LÚ.ÀR.ÀR = En-*i*-*ni* (134 : 19, see comment on p. 140-141) could be plausibly explained, as A. Livingstone observed (oral communication), by assuming that the scribe confused the signs EN and NE : $*t\dot{e}$ -*i*-*ni* would be the exact equivalent of LÚ.ÀR.ÀR.

Karlheinz Deller (15.07.87) Assyriologie, Sandgasse 5/7, D-6900 Heidelberg, RFA