15) YOS 6 141: the account of bird-keepers from Eanna Temple at Uruk

– YOS 6 141, being one of the significant documents concerning poultry breeding belongs to the Eanna temple archives from Uruk. The importance of the document has been noticed by M. San Nicolò, who published its transliteration and translation in one of the series of papers concerning animal husbandry in Uruk. San Nicolò did not explain, however, the importance of the document for the organization of the poultry breeding in the Eanna temple at Uruk. This, in turn, influenced the decision of making it a matter of careful analysis in this paper.

The document is an annual account of income and expenditure in poultry, including detailed information about sex and age of the fowl, in the flocks of Itti-Šamaš-balāṭu (lines 4-17) and Nannā-iddina (lines 18-30), the sons of Ṭāb-šar-Ištar. The term *puḥalu* and UZ.TUR^{mušen} describe drakes, *alittu* she-duck, *lidānu* ducklings (in time when sex cannot be established), and *parrâtu* she-ducklings. According to the heading, the text includes two different operations described as *minūtu*, an interim counting, and *nikkassu*, the result of the final inspection made in or shortly before Tašrīṭu (VII), 10 in the twelfth year of Nabonidus' reign, i.e. 544 B.C.

Although the similarity in the number of birds in both flocks and the method of description is evident, for the clarity of argumentation and the convenience of the readers I will describe each flock separately.

In the 11th year (probably close to its end) the flock of Itti-Šamaš-balāţu consisted of 140 birds: [40] drakes and 100 she-ducks (l. 4), however, in l. 5 additional 50 she-ducks are counted, what is evidenced in 150 she-ducks in the second column of l. 7. The separation of these 50 she-ducks from 100 she-ducks cannot be accidental. It seems to me that the reason behind it was that they were also taken from the corral of Lūṣi-ana-nūr, the brother of Itti-Šamaš-balāţu, although the last column of ll. 5-6 informs us merely that Itti-Šamaš-balāţu took from the brother only 25 UZ.TUR^{mušen} (*ina qa-bu-ut-tum*

šá ILÚUD.DU-ana-ZÁLAG). The additional reason to treat these 50 she-ducks separately from 100 she-ducks might have been their age. Although they were already recognized as she-ducks, they were younger than the previously mentioned 100 ducks. I am led to believe this due to the description in the text of the eggs given back to Lūṣi-ana-nūr as "instead of 50 ducklings" rather than expected "instead of 50 she-ducks". It also follows from that clearly that these 50 she-ducks were treated as an exchange, while 25 drakes were only borrowed for some time and had to be given back. Probably it was for this reason that 25 drakes taken from Lūṣi-ana-nūr on Kislīmu, 6th are not counted in 1. 7, col. I because they are not recognized as part of Itti-Šamaš-balāṭu's flock. Then, according to line 7 at the beginning of the 12th year of Nabonidus the flock of Itti-Šamaš-balāṭu amounted to 15 drakes on its own, 150 she-ducks (alittu) and 330 ducklings (lidānu) and 25 drakes from Lūṣi-ana-nūr, which are not counted here.

Lines 8-15 explain what happened with the birds till the day of the final inspection on the 10th day Tašriţu, the twelfth year of Nabonidus:

- Itti-Šamaš-balāṭu returned to Lūṣi-ana-nūr 100 eggs instead of 50 ducklings (*lidānu*), which he had borrowed from him earlier (see l. 5, col. II). Such advantageous exchange was probably a pre-condition for the agreement, because more ducklings could be born from 100 eggs.
 - the issue of the 2 drakes on the 3rd day of the month of Nisannu (I).
 - the issue of 3 drakes on the 24th day of the month of Ayaru (II).
- the issue of 12 ducklings ($lid\bar{a}nu$) on the 6^{th} day of the month of Simanu (III).
- between Simanu (III), 6 and Ulūlu (IV), 15 during the counting (*ina muḥḥi minūtu*), 20 ducklings were given (to Lūṣi-ana-nūr).
 - $50\ ducklings$ were delivered to the Esagila temple by Tabnēa.
- the issue of 72 ducklings on the $15^{\mbox{th}}$ day of the month of Ulūlu (VI).

The numerals in appropriate columns can be explained only if we accept that:

- 154 ducklings (12+20+50+72) + 50 ducklings, [in fact 100 eggs], total 204, will be taken away from 330 ducklings, which gives 126 ducklings. During the inspection 20 ducklings were shifted to young she-ducks. It means that the remaining (*rehi*) 106 ducklings were too young to recognize their sex.

The question is how the accountant reached the number of 30 drakes

in 1. 16. It should be noted that from the 150 she-ducks mentioned in 1. 7 only 130 are counted in lines 16-17, col. II. The missing 20 birds were, in my opinion shifted to drakes what, together with 15 drakes from line 7, gives 35 drakes. However, 5 drakes were already issued on the third and fifth day of Nisannu.

As a result: 204 issued birds, i.e. 154 ducklings + 50 ducklings [in fact 100 eggs] + 5 drakes + 150 birds inspected (*amru*) + 136 (*rehe*) gives 495 birds as in 1. 7, col. IV.

The text does not include any precise information when Itti-Šamašbalāṭu gave the borrowed drakes back to his brother, Lūṣi-ana-nūr. However, the only possibility is to suggest that he received 5 drakes (2+3) in Nisannu and Ayaru and next 20 ducklings during the interim counting (*ina minūtu*).

The description of the flock of Nannā-iddin is similar to that of Itti-Šamaš-balāṭu. Lines 18-19 give the number of birds in his flock inluding drakes and 50 ducklings borrowed from the brother.

Lines 22-28 describe what happened with the birds till the day of the final inspection :

- instead of 46 ducklings (*lidānu*), Lūši-ana-nūr received 92 eggs.
- the issue of 5 drakes on the 3rd day of the month of Nisannu (I).
- the isue of 12 ducklings ($\mathit{lid\bar{a}nu}$) on the 6^{th} day of the month of Simanu (III).
- during the counting 20 ducklings ($\it lid\bar{a}\it nu$) were given (to Lūṣi-ana-nūr).
- 50 ducklings ($lid\bar{a}nu$) were delivered to the Esagila temple by Tabnēa.
- the issue of 108 ducklings ($\it lid\bar{a}\it nu$) on the 15 th day of the month of Ulūlu.

The problem is why Lūṣi-ana-nūr received only 92 eggs instead of 46 ducklings (*lidānu*) although he gave 50 young birds to his brother which were counted in 1. 19 as she-ducks. Most probably 4 birds died and the obligation of Nannā-iddin was reduced appropriately. The other problem concerns drakes. For 20 drakes, which Nannā-iddin received from Lūṣi-ana-nūr he returned him most probably 20 ducklings during the interim counting (1. 25); it means that 5 drakes mentioned in 1. 23, unlike drakes from 11. 9-10, were given for a different aim.

As a result: 241 issued birds, i.e. 46 ducklings [in fact 92 eggs] + 5

drakes + 12+20+50+108 and 152 birds inspected and 102 (*rehe*) gives again 495 birds as in 1. 21, col. V. Additionally, in both countings the number of sheducks, ducklings and total number of birds is the same. In both of them 20 birds recognized as she-ducks were shifted to the group of drakes. These regularities strongly suggest that such a shape of the flock is not the result of natural processes but rather results from the sheperds' activities which succeeded in meeting the requirements of the temple administration. Such an interpretation is strongly confirmed by the agreement of the temple to move 20 birds from sheducks to drakes, which was caused by the fact that determining ducklings' sex was extremely difficult. Obviously a sheperd had to take his own birds if there were more than 20 drakes among the ducklings. It seems to be a proof for the situation being similar to sheep sheperds who looked after both temple and their own flock at the same time.

Two things should be additionally noted:

- the first ducklings were issued already at the beginning of the month Simanu. It seems that new-born birds were too small to give them for offerings or to different aims.
- the fact that only a part of the flocks were inspected suggests that, just like sheep, at least during some period of time they were bred quite far from the temple.

The text also confirms the fact that although the brothers were taking care of separate flocks, they tended to co-operate closely and practiced exchange, in order to ensure proper composition of the flock with regard to number, sex and age of birds.

- 1. This article is part of the master's thesis (The Poultry Breeding in the Neo-Babylonian and Early Persian Periods) written under the supervision of Prof. Stefan Zawadzki.
- 2. San Nicolò M., Materialen zur Viehwirtschaft in den neubabylonischen Tempeln. III, Or XX (1951), 129-132.

- 1) [muken pu-ḫai muken]a-lii-tu4 muken li-da-nu muken par-rat PAP UZ.TUR^{muken} &ā ŠID^{num}
 2) [ù NÎG.ŜI]D ^{ku} \$à-tam Ê-an-na ù ^{ku}ŠID.MEŜ &ā Ê-an-na i-pu-su ITI.DU₆ UD.10.KAM MU.12.KAM
 3)

1 me	S yidada	117	PAP 1 me 40	"KI-"UTU-TIN A "DÜG.GA-IM-"INNIN MU.11.KAM
50			PAP 50	ina qa-bu-ut-tum šá mLuUD.DU-ana-ZÁLAG A mDÙG.GA-IM-dINNIN
			Francisco de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición de la composición dela composición	25 UZ.TUR mislen ITI.GAN UD.6.KAM IGI"
1 me 50	3 me 30		PAP + me 95	MU.12.KAM ^d AG-IM.TUKU LUGAL TIN.TIR.KI
			1,111,1111111	ina lìb-bi 1 me NUNUZ ku-um 50 li-da-nu IGIir
	《知》	STATE	S to state or	2 UZ.TUR ^{mušen} ITI.BÁR UD.3.KAM
a Ellin	as Higher	100	gaileans s	3 UZ.TUR ^{musen} ITI.GU ₄ UD.24.KAM
			and the same of	12 li-da-nu ITI.SIG ₄ UD.6.KAM
			a Al Shyller	20 li-da-nu ina UGU mi-nu-tu IGI ^{ir}
77				50 li-da-nu ina ŠU ^{II m} Tab-ni-e-a
Marie 197				A ^{md} ŠÁR-NUMUN-DÙ a-na É-sag-il šap-ru
L.A. 19			HATT THE ST	72 li-da-nu ITI.KIN UD.15.KAM
1 me		20	PAP 1 me 50	MU.12.KAM am-ra
30	1 me 6		PAP 136	ina pa-ni "KI-dUTU-TIN A "DÙG.GA-IM-dINNIN
1 me		400	PAP 135	mdNa-na-a-MU A mDÙG.GA-IM-dINNIN MU.11.KAM
50			PAP 50!	ina qa-bu-ut-tum šá m-Lu UD.DU-ana-ZÁLAG A mDUG.GA-IM-dINNIN ina IGI-šú
			A Market Mil	20 UZ.TUR ^{muien} ITI.GAN UD.6.KAM
1 me 50	3 me 30		PAP 4 me 95	MU.12.KAM ⁴ AG-IM.TUKU LUGAL TIN.TIR.KI
				92 NUNUZ ku-um 46 li-da-nu IGI ^{ir}
15 10			as Valdenii	5 UZ.TUR ^{mulen} ITI.BÁR UD.3.KAM
1 to 1 to 1 to 1				12 li-da-nu ITI.SIG ₄ UD.6.KAM
estin tel			YII.	20 li-da-nu ina UGU mi-nu-tu IGIir
200 001				50 li-da-nu ina ŠU ^{II m} Tab-ni-e-a A ^{md} ŠÁR-NUMUN-DÙ
. 25		100	Leaderson of	a-na É-sag-il šap-ru
				1 me 8 li-da-nu ITI.KIN UD.15.KAM
1 me	22		PAP 1 me 52	MU.12.KAM am-ra
30	72	1	PAP 1 me 2	ina IGI ^{md} Na-na-a-MU A ^m DÙG.GA-IM- ^d INNIN
	1 me 50 1 me 50 1 me 50 1 me 50	1 me 50 3 me 30 1 me 50 3 me 30 1 me 6 1 me 50 1 me 50 3 me 30	1 me 50 3 me 30 1 me 50 1 me 6 1 me 50 1 me 50 3 me 30	1 me 50 3 me 30 PAP 1 me 50 1 me 50 3 me 30 PAP 1 me 50 30 1 me 6 1 me 50 3 me 30 PAP 4 me 95 1 me 50 3 me 30 PAP 4 me 95

1) [drakes.] she-ducks, ducklings, young she-ducks, total ducks, which were counted

2) [and inspect]ed (by) the sotammu of the Eanna and the scribes of the Eanna. Month of Tašrītu the 10th day 12 year

3)						Nabonidus king of the Babylon
4)	[40]	100		-01	total 140	(II. +6) Itti-Šamaš-balātu, son of Tāb-šar-Ištar received 25 ducks
5)		50	712.57	1	total 50	from the corral of Lüşi-ana-nür, son of Ţāb-šar-Ištar
6)						on the 6th day of the Kislīmu 11th year.
7)	15	150	330		total 495	12 th year of Nabonidus king of the Babylon:
8)				11		Out of it 100 cggs instead of 50 ducklings (Ildānu) Lūşi-ana-nūr received:
9)						2 drakes the 3 rd day of the Nisannu;
10)		also;	ornebil (did b		3 drakes the 24th day of the Ayaru;
11)						12 ducklings (lidānu) the 6th day of the Simanu:
12)	- 37					20 ducklings (lidānu) he [Lūṣi-ana-nūr] received during the counting:
13)			13142411			(II. 13-14) 50 ducklings (lidānu) were delivered
14)				1		to the Esagila temple by Tabnëa, son of Aššur-zër-ibni:
15)	o and	filler of	1 TO 42	1 848		72 ducklings (lidānu) the 15th day of the Ulūlu.
6)	30	100	red) moi	20	total 150	They were seen (in the) 12th year.
17)	rem.	30	106		total 136	The remaining amount at the disposal of Itti-Samaš-balātu, son of Ṭāb-šar-Ištar.
18)	35	100		11	total 135	(IL 18-20) Nannā-iddin, son of Tāb-šar-Ištar, received (in the text: "to his disposal") 20 duck
19)	200	50	in non	194	total 50	from the corral of Lūṣi-ana-nūr, son of Ṭāb-sar-lstar
20)						on the 6th day of the Kislimu 11th year.
21)	15	150	330		total 495	12th year of Nabonidus king of the Babylon:
22)						(Out of it) 92 cggs instead of 46 ducklings (lidanu) he [Lūṣi-ana-nūr] received:
23)	1500					5 drakes the 3rd day of the Nisannu;
24)	- 10			11		12 ducklings (lidānu) the 6th day of the Simanu;
25)			100	11		20 ducklings (iidānu) received during the counting;
26)	nonii I		soda be	d and		(IL 26-27) 50 ducklings (lidānu) were delivered
27)						to the Esagila temple by Tabněa, son of Aššur-zēr-ibru:
28)						108 ducklings (lidānu) 15th day of the Ulūlu.
29)	[30]	100	22		total 152	They were seen (in the) 12th year
30)	[rem.]	30	72		total 102	The remaining amount at the disposal of Nannā-iddin, son of Tāb-šar-Ištar.

R. TARASEWICZ (12-02-2003) Św. Marcin 71/1a, 61-808 POZNAN (Poland) nbk@interia.pll